Appl. No. 10/550,974 Reply to Office Action of June 12, 2008 Amdt. Dated September 8, 2008

## **DRAWING AMENDMENTS**

Replacement sheets 1 and 2 have been provided to replace previously presented drawing sheets 1 and 2. Figs. 1-4 have been labeled as prior art.

Attachments: Two Replacement Sheets

Two Annotated Sheets Showing Changes

Reconsideration of the application is requested.

Claims 13, 14, and 16-33 remain in the application. Claims 13, 14, and 16-33

are subject to examination. Claims 13, 20 - 23, 26, 27, 28, and 32 have been

amended. Claim 15 has been canceled to facilitate prosecution of the instant

application.

Under the heading "Drawings" on page 2 of the above-identified Office Action,

the Examiner objected to the drawings. The Examiner stated that Figs. 1-4

should be labeled as prior art.

Figs. 1-4 have been labeled as prior art.

Under the heading "Specification" on page 2 of the above-identified Office

Action, the abstract of the disclosure has been objected to.

A new abstract on a separate sheet has been provided on page 2 of this

response.

Under the heading "Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103" on page 2 of the above-

identified Office Action, claims 13-20, 23-26, and 29-33 have been rejected as

being obvious over U.S. Patent No. 6,384,768 to Kai or the admitted prior art

under 35 U.S.C. § 103.

8 of 11

Claims 13, 23, and 32 have been amended to better define the invention. The

wording in the other amended claims has been changed to be consistent with

the changes to claims 13 and 23. Support for the amendments can be found,

for example, by referring to the specification at page 11, line 25 through page

12, line 9.

Claims 13 and 23 now specify that the receiver includes a filter and a detector

configured to measure the average power of the receiving oscillator. Claim 32

defines a step of measuring an output power of the receiving oscillator.

In the rejection of claim 15 on page 3 of the Office action, the Examiner has

recognized that neither Kai nor the prior art discussed in the specification

disclose measuring the power of the oscillator.

The invention as now defined by claims 13, 23, and 32 is not taught or

suggested by the cited prior art.

Under the heading "Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103" on page 4 of the above-

identified Office Action, claims 16, 17, and 19 have been rejected as being

obvious over U.S. Patent No. 6,384,768 to Kai in view of Fig. 4 of the

specification, which is admitted prior art, under 35 U.S.C. § 103. Applicants

respectfully traverse.

9 of 11

The invention as now defined by claims 16, 17, and 19 is not obvious for the

reasons given above with regard to claim 13.

Under the heading "Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103" on page 5 of the above-

identified Office Action, claims 21, 22, 27, and 28 have been rejected as being

obvious over U.S. Patent No. 6,384,768 to Kai or the admitted prior art, and

further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,192,229 to Stikvoort under 35 U.S.C. § 103.

Applicants respectfully traverse.

The invention as now defined by claims 21, 22, 27, and 28 is not obvious for

the reasons given above with regard to claims 13 and 23.

It is accordingly believed to be clear that none of the references, whether taken

alone or in any combination, either show or suggest the features of claims 13,

23, or 32. Claims 13, 23, and 32 are, therefore, believed to be patentable over

the art. The dependent claims are believed to be patentable as well because

they all are ultimately dependent on claim 13, 23, or 32.

In view of the foregoing, reconsideration and allowance of claims 13, 14, and

16-33 are solicited.

In the event the Examiner should still find any of the claims to be unpatentable,

counsel would appreciate receiving a telephone call so that, if possible,

patentable language can be worked out.

10 of 11

Appl. No. 10/550,974 Reply to Office Action of June 12, 2008 Amdt. Dated September 8, 2008

Please charge any fees that might be due with respect to Sections 1.16 and 1.17 to the Deposit Account of Lerner Greenberg Stemer LLP, No. 12-1099.

Respectfully submitted,

/Laurence A. Greenberg/ Laurence A. Greenberg (Reg. No. 29,308)

MPW:cgm

September 8, 2008

Lerner Greenberg Stemer LLP P.O. Box 2480 Hollywood, Florida 33022-2480

Tel.: (954) 925-1100 Fax: (954) 925-1101